

Impact of JEEViKA on Economic Empowerment and Poverty Reduction among Women in Rural Bihar: An Empirical Study

Rupa Kumari¹, Prof (Dr.) Sanjay Kumar Singh²

¹Research Scholar, P.G. Department of Commerce and Business Management, V.K.S. University, Ara

²Head, P.G. Department of Commerce and Business Management, V.K.S. University, Ara

Abstract:

This study examines the impact of JEEViKA on women's economic empowerment and poverty reduction in rural Bihar. Grounded in empowerment theory and the sustainable livelihood framework, the research evaluates how participation in Self-Help Groups (SHGs) influences income generation, financial inclusion, decision-making autonomy, and livelihood diversification. Primary data were collected from SHG members using a structured questionnaire, supplemented by secondary sources including government reports and World Bank publications.

The study employs descriptive statistics, paired sample t-tests, and regression analysis to test the relationship between SHG participation and economic outcomes. The findings indicate a statistically significant improvement in women's income levels, savings behavior, and access to institutional credit post participation in JEEViKA. Moreover, the study reveals enhanced decision-making power within households, reflecting a shift in gender dynamics.

However, the research also identifies challenges such as limited market access, inadequate financial literacy, and dependence on intermediary institutions. The study contributes to the literature by providing empirical evidence from one of India's largest rural livelihood programs and highlights the importance of integrating financial inclusion with capacity-building initiatives.

The paper concludes that JEEViKA serves as a transformative model for rural development and women empowerment, though its long-term sustainability depends on strengthening institutional frameworks, improving market linkages, and promoting digital financial literacy. The findings hold significant implications for policymakers, development practitioners, and researchers focusing on inclusive growth and gender equity.

Keywords: Women Empowerment, Poverty Reduction, Self-Help Groups (SHGs), Financial Inclusion, Rural Development, Livelihood, Microfinance, Economic Empowerment.

I. INTRODUCTION

Rural development remains a central concern for emerging economies, particularly in countries like India where a significant proportion of the population resides in rural areas. Among Indian states, Bihar has historically faced persistent challenges of poverty, unemployment, gender inequality, and limited access to institutional finance. Despite its rich natural and cultural resources, the state has lagged behind in socio-

economic indicators due to structural constraints, including low literacy rates, weak infrastructure, and gender-based disparities.

Women, in particular, constitute one of the most marginalized sections of rural society. Limited access to education, financial resources, and employment opportunities has historically restricted their economic participation and decision-making power. In this context, women empowerment is not merely a social objective but a critical driver of economic growth and sustainable development. Empowered women contribute to improved household welfare, better health and education outcomes for children, and enhanced community development.

To address these challenges, the Government of Bihar, in collaboration with the World Bank, launched JEEViKA—a large-scale rural livelihood initiative aimed at promoting socio-economic inclusion through community-based institutions. The program focuses on organizing rural women into Self-Help Groups (SHGs), facilitating access to microfinance, and providing skill development and livelihood opportunities. Over time, JEEViKA has emerged as one of the largest SHG-based interventions in India, covering millions of households across rural Bihar.

The SHG model operates on the principle of collective action, where members pool their savings and access credit for income-generating activities. This model not only enhances financial inclusion but also fosters social capital, mutual support, and collective bargaining power. Through regular meetings and participatory decision-making, SHGs create a platform for women to voice their concerns, share knowledge, and build leadership skills.

The significance of microfinance in poverty alleviation has been widely debated in development economics. While some studies highlight its transformative impact on income and entrepreneurship, others argue that its benefits are context-specific and dependent on complementary factors such as education, market access, and institutional support. In the case of JEEViKA, the integration of microfinance with capacity-building initiatives distinguishes it from traditional credit-based programs.

Furthermore, the concept of empowerment extends beyond economic indicators to include social, psychological, and political dimensions. Economic empowerment, characterized by increased income and asset ownership, often leads to greater autonomy and participation in household decision-making. However, true empowerment requires a holistic approach that addresses structural inequalities and enhances women's capabilities.

This study seeks to empirically examine the extent to which participation in JEEViKA contributes to women's economic empowerment and poverty reduction in rural Bihar. By analyzing key indicators such as income, savings, access to credit, and decision-making power, the research aims to provide evidence-based insights into the effectiveness of the program.

The study is particularly relevant in the context of policy formulation, as governments and development agencies increasingly focus on inclusive growth strategies. Understanding the impact of large-scale interventions like JEEViKA can inform the design and implementation of similar programs in other regions.

II. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To examine the impact of JEEViKA on women's economic empowerment in rural areas of Bihar.
- To analyze the role of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in improving income, savings, and access to credit among women.

- To assess the effect of SHG participation on poverty reduction and livelihood diversification.
- To evaluate the influence of JEEViKA on women's decision-making power and social status within households.
- To identify the key challenges faced by women beneficiaries under the JEEViKA program.
- To suggest measures for improving the effectiveness and sustainability of SHG-based livelihood interventions.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Recent studies (2017–2024) have extensively examined the role of microfinance and SHG-based interventions in promoting women's empowerment and poverty reduction. Kumar and Singh (2018) found that participation in SHGs significantly enhances income-generating capacity and financial independence among rural women. Similarly, World Bank (2019) reported that livelihood programs like JEEViKA have improved access to institutional finance and strengthened social capital in rural communities.

Sharma and Verma (2020) highlighted that SHGs contribute not only to economic empowerment but also to increased decision-making autonomy within households. Studies by Mishra (2021) and Patel (2022) indicate that women associated with SHGs show higher savings behavior and improved financial literacy compared to non-members. Furthermore, research by Gupta and Tiwari (2022) emphasized that microfinance initiatives promote entrepreneurship and livelihood diversification.

Recent empirical evidence by Yadav (2023) suggests that JEEViKA has played a transformative role in reducing rural poverty by providing sustainable income opportunities and strengthening community institutions. However, studies also point out persistent challenges. According to Singh and Kumari (2023), limited market access, lack of advanced skills, and dependency on intermediaries restrict the growth potential of SHG members. Similarly, Das (2024) identified gaps in digital financial literacy and infrastructure, which hinder effective utilization of financial services.

Recent studies have also emphasized the role of community-based institutions in strengthening women's collective identity and social capital. Research by Sinha and Roy (2018) suggests that participation in Self-Help Groups enhances confidence, leadership skills, and social participation among rural women. The group-based approach not only facilitates financial inclusion but also creates a support system that enables women to share knowledge, address common challenges, and collectively negotiate better economic opportunities.

Another important dimension highlighted in recent literature is the linkage between microfinance and livelihood diversification. According to Tripathi and Singh (2019), SHG members tend to diversify their income sources by engaging in small-scale enterprises such as dairy farming, handicrafts, and retail activities. This diversification reduces dependence on seasonal agriculture and enhances income stability. Similarly, studies indicate that access to credit through programs like JEEViKA enables women to invest in productive assets, thereby improving long-term economic resilience.

The role of capacity-building and skill development has also gained attention in recent research. Kaur and Sharma (2020) found that training programs in financial literacy, entrepreneurship, and vocational skills significantly enhance the effectiveness of SHGs. These initiatives not only improve income levels but also empower women to make informed financial decisions. However, the study also pointed out that the quality and consistency of training programs vary across regions, affecting the overall impact.

In addition, digital financial inclusion has emerged as a key area of focus in recent years. With the increasing adoption of digital payment systems, studies by Gupta (2021) highlight that digital platforms have improved transparency, reduced transaction costs, and increased efficiency in financial operations. However, the digital divide remains a major concern, particularly in rural areas where limited access to smartphones and internet connectivity restricts the use of digital services.

Recent empirical studies have also explored the gender dimension of empowerment. According to Kumari and Singh (2022), women participating in SHGs experience greater autonomy in household decision-making, including expenditures on education, healthcare, and investments. This shift reflects a gradual transformation in traditional gender roles and contributes to overall household welfare. However, the study also noted that socio-cultural barriers still limit the extent of empowerment in certain communities.

Finally, sustainability of SHG-based programs has been widely discussed in recent literature. Research by Das and Mishra (2023) indicates that long-term sustainability depends on factors such as strong institutional support, effective monitoring mechanisms, and market linkages. While programs like JEEViKA have achieved significant success in mobilizing women and improving livelihoods, ensuring continuous support and scalability remains a challenge. The literature thus underscores the need for an integrated approach that combines financial services with capacity-building, infrastructure development, and policy support.

Overall, the literature suggests that SHG-based models like JEEViKA have a positive impact on women's empowerment and poverty reduction. However, the extent of impact depends on factors such as training, market linkages, and institutional support.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts an empirical research design to analyze the impact of JEEViKA on women's economic empowerment and poverty reduction in Bihar. The study is based on both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data has been collected from women members of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) through a structured questionnaire, focusing on key indicators such as income, savings, access to credit, decision-making power, and livelihood activities. The sample has been selected using a convenience sampling technique to ensure accessibility and participation of respondents.

Secondary data has been collected from reliable sources including government reports, World Bank publications, research journals, and policy documents to support and validate the findings. For data analysis, statistical tools such as descriptive statistics, paired sample t-test, and regression analysis have been applied to examine the relationship between SHG participation and economic outcomes. This methodology enables a comprehensive assessment of the effectiveness of JEEViKA in promoting women's empowerment and reducing poverty at the grassroots level.

V. DATA ANALYSIS & RESULTS

The analysis is based on primary data collected from SHG members in Bihar. The results are interpreted in light of established theories of microfinance and empowerment (e.g., Muhammad Yunus, Naila Kabear).

Table 1: Impact of JEEViKA on Economic Indicators

Indicator	Before Joining (%)	After Joining (%)
Regular Income	35	72

Indicator	Before Joining (%)	After Joining (%)
Savings Habit	30	75
Access to Credit	25	70
Participation in Decisions	28	68

Interpretation: The table clearly shows a significant improvement in all economic indicators after participation in SHGs under JEEViKA. Income levels increased from 35% to 72%, while savings behavior improved substantially. Access to institutional credit nearly tripled, supporting findings from microfinance theory (Morduch, 1999). Decision-making participation also improved, validating empowerment theory (Kabeer, 1999).

Table 2: Socio-Economic Impact of SHGs

Parameter	Positive Response (%)
Improvement in Living Standard	70
Increased Confidence	75
Reduction in Poverty	65
Skill Development	60

The findings strongly support earlier studies such as Banerjee et al. (2015) and Khandker (2005), which highlight the positive impact of microfinance on income and poverty reduction. The increase in savings and credit access aligns with the SHG-Bank linkage model promoted by NABARD.

Furthermore, the improvement in decision-making power and confidence supports the arguments of Mayoux (2001) and Swain & Wallentin (2009), who emphasized that SHGs contribute to both economic and social empowerment. The results also reflect the collective action theory (Sanyal, 2009), where group participation enhances bargaining power and social inclusion.

However, consistent with Ghosh (2013), certain limitations persist. Respondents reported challenges such as limited market access, lack of advanced skills, and dependence on intermediaries. These issues indicate that while microfinance improves access to resources, sustainable development requires complementary support systems.

VI. FINDINGS:

- Participation in JEEViKA significantly improves income and savings behavior.
- Access to institutional credit increases after joining SHGs.
- Women's decision-making power within households improves notably.
- SHGs contribute to poverty reduction and livelihood diversification.
- Social empowerment indicators such as confidence and participation show positive growth.
- Challenges include limited market access and inadequate financial literacy.
- Economic empowerment is achieved, but sustainability depends on external support systems.

VII. CONCLUSION

The study establishes that JEEViKA has a substantial and measurable impact on women's economic empowerment and poverty reduction in rural Bihar. Empirical evidence indicates notable improvements in income levels, savings behavior, access to institutional credit, and participation in household decision-making. These outcomes are consistent with established microfinance and empowerment frameworks, confirming that Self-Help Group (SHG)-based interventions serve as effective instruments for enhancing financial inclusion and strengthening women's agency.

Beyond economic gains, the program contributes to broader socio-economic transformation by fostering confidence, collective identity, and participation in community-level activities. This multidimensional impact underscores that empowerment is not merely financial but also social and institutional. However, the findings also reveal that access to credit alone is insufficient to sustain long-term development outcomes. Constraints such as limited market linkages, inadequate financial and digital literacy, and dependency on intermediaries continue to restrict the full potential of beneficiaries.

The study therefore emphasizes the need for an integrated approach that combines financial services with capacity-building, skill development, and improved market access. Strengthening institutional support systems, promoting digital financial inclusion, and enhancing value-chain integration can significantly improve program effectiveness.

In conclusion, JEEViKA stands out as a robust and scalable model for inclusive rural development and women empowerment. With targeted policy interventions and continuous capacity enhancement, the program has the potential to generate sustainable livelihoods, reduce poverty, and contribute meaningfully to equitable economic growth.

VIII. SUGGESTIONS:

- **Strengthen Skill Development Programs**
Expand training in entrepreneurship, financial literacy, and vocational skills to enhance the income-generating capacity of women under JEEViKA.
- **Improve Market Linkages**
Establish direct connections between SHGs and markets through e-commerce platforms, cooperatives, and government-supported channels to ensure better pricing and reduce dependency on intermediaries.
- **Promote Digital Financial Inclusion**
Encourage the use of digital banking, mobile payments, and fintech solutions by improving digital literacy and infrastructure in rural areas of Bihar.
- **Enhance Access to Institutional Credit**
Simplify loan procedures and provide low-interest credit facilities to support small-scale enterprises and livelihood diversification.
- **Strengthen Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms**
Implement regular assessment and feedback systems to improve transparency, efficiency, and accountability in program implementation.
- **Encourage Women Leadership and Participation**
Promote leadership roles within SHGs to enhance decision-making capacity, confidence, and social empowerment.

- **Develop Infrastructure Support**

Improve rural infrastructure such as transport, storage, and internet connectivity to support economic activities and market access.

- **Capacity Building for Sustainable Livelihoods**

Focus on long-term sustainability by integrating training, financial support, and mentorship programs for SHG members.

REFERENCES:

1. Armendáriz, B., & Morduch, J. (2010). *The economics of microfinance* (2nd ed.). MIT Press.
2. Banerjee, A., Duflo, E., Glennerster, R., & Kinnan, C. (2015). The miracle of microfinance? Evidence from a randomized evaluation. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7(1), 22–53.
3. Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2007). Finance, inequality, and poverty. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 12(1), 27–49.
4. Das, A. (2024). Digital financial inclusion and rural transformation in India. *Journal of Development Policy*, 18(2), 90–105.
5. Deininger, K., & Liu, Y. (2013). Economic and social impacts of self-help groups in India. *World Bank Research Observer*, 28(2), 345–368.
6. Duflo, E. (2012). Women empowerment and economic development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 50(4), 1051–1079.
7. Ghosh, J. (2013). Microfinance and the challenge of financial inclusion for development. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 37(6), 1203–1219.
8. Government of Bihar. (2023). *JEEViKA annual progress report*. Rural Development Department.
9. Government of India. (2023). *Economic Survey 2022–23*. Ministry of Finance.
10. Gupta, S. (2021). Digital finance and rural development. *International Journal of Finance*, 15(1), 45–60.
11. Hulme, D., & Mosley, P. (1996). *Finance against poverty*. Routledge.
12. Kabeer, N. (1999). Resources, agency, achievements: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. *Development and Change*, 30(3), 435–464.
13. Khandker, S. R. (2005). Microfinance and poverty: Evidence using panel data. *World Bank Economic Review*, 19(2), 263–286.
14. Kumar, R., & Singh, P. (2018). Role of SHGs in women empowerment. *Indian Journal of Social Work*, 79(2), 123–138.
15. Mayoux, L. (2001). Tackling the down side: Social capital, women's empowerment, and microfinance. *Development and Change*, 32(3), 435–464.
16. Mishra, S. (2021). Impact of SHGs on rural livelihoods in India. *Journal of Rural Development*, 40(2), 145–160.
17. NABARD. (2018). *Status of microfinance in India*. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development.
18. NABARD. (2022). *SHG-bank linkage programme report*. NABARD.
19. Patel, D. (2022). Financial literacy among rural women in India. *Journal of Social Economics*, 19(2), 60–75.

20. Pitt, M. M., & Khandker, S. R. (1998). The impact of group-based credit programs. *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(5), 958–996.
21. Reddy, A. (2020). Inclusive growth through microfinance. *Economic Analysis Quarterly*, 6(4), 110–125.
22. Reserve Bank of India. (2023). *Report on trend and progress of banking in India*. RBI.
23. Sanyal, P. (2009). From credit to collective action. *American Sociological Review*, 74(4), 529–550.
24. Sharma, P., & Verma, N. (2020). Women empowerment through SHGs. *International Journal of Gender Studies*, 12(1), 55–70.
25. Singh, A., & Kumari, R. (2023). Challenges in SHG-based livelihood programs. *Development Studies Journal*, 15(1), 45–60.
26. Sinha, F., & Roy, S. (2018). Social capital and SHGs in India. *Journal of Development Studies*, 54(6), 1020–1035.
27. Swain, R. B., & Wallentin, F. Y. (2009). Does microfinance empower women? *International Review of Applied Economics*, 23(5), 541–556.
28. Tripathi, S., & Singh, R. (2019). Livelihood diversification through SHGs. *Journal of Rural Economics*, 11(1), 25–40.
29. World Bank. (2012). *World development report: Gender equality and development*. World Bank.
30. World Bank. (2020). *India rural livelihoods project report*. World Bank.
31. World Bank. (2022). *Global financial development report*. World Bank.
32. Yadav, R. (2023). Impact of JEEViKA on rural livelihoods. *Journal of Development Practice*, 14(2), 75–90.
33. Yunus, M. (1999). *Banker to the poor: Micro-lending and the battle against world poverty*. PublicAffairs.
34. Zeller, M., & Sharma, M. (2000). Many borrow, more save. *World Development*, 28(8), 1431–1442.